

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/676,885	09/30/2003	John P. Townsend	US-1388-FFEM	8090
7590 05/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Daniel DeJoseph			LU, JIPING	
F.L. Smidth Inc	ວ.			
2040 Avenue C		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bethlehem, PA 18017-2188			3749	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/676,885	TOWNSEND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jiping Lu	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEE  cause the application to become ABANDONEE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-20 and 22-25</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-20 and 22-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>14 and 21</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not received	d.				
*** 1 · · · · · · ·						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summer	(PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
. apoi 140(3)/191aii Date	٠, المارة ال					

Application/Control Number: 10/676,885

Art Unit: 3749

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6, 8-12, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gardner (U. S. Pat. 5,915,959).

Gardner shows a preheating apparatus for particulate material comprising (A) a secondary preheating chamber with sloped floor 56, a material outlet (at 34), a gas inlet (at 18) and at least one reciprocally movable material pusher 74 for moving particulate material in the lower chamber toward the material outlet; and (B) at least one initial preheating chamber 12 with material inlet 40, gas inlet (at 80), material outlet (at 83), a gas outlet (at 66) which are arranged same as claimed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,885

Art Unit: 3749

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 4-5, 7, 15-19, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner (U. S. Pat. 5,915,959).

Gardner shows a preheating apparatus for particulate material comprising (A) a secondary preheating chamber/cassette with sloped floor 56, a material outlet (at 34), a gas inlet (at 18) and at least one reciprocally movable material pusher 74 for moving particulate material in the lower chamber toward the material outlet; and (B) at least one initial preheating chamber 12 with material inlet 40, gas inlet (at 80), material outlet (at 83), a gas outlet (at 66) which are arranged same as claimed. With regard to the claimed cassette shape, it would have been an obvious matter design choice to design the cassette at any desired shape in order to obtain the optimum preheating result.

6. Claims 13, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner (U. S. Pat. 5,915,959) in view of Giaier et al. (U. S. Pat. 4,602,572).

Gardner shows a preheating apparatus for particulate material comprising a preheating vessel with a floor 56, a ceiling, sidewalls, material inlet and outlet, gas inlet, gas exhaust and a reciprocally movable material pusher 74 which are arranged same as claimed (see Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 3749

However, Gardner does not show a pusher with two steps. Giainer et al. show in Fig. 4 a reciprocally movable material pusher 24 with at least two steps same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the pusher 24 of Giainer et al. for the pusher 74 of Gardner in order to improve the system efficiency.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 14, 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beckenbach et al. (U. S. Pat. 4,767,322) shows an apparatus for charging a shaft furnace.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 703-308-2354. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,885

Art Unit: 3749

885 Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jiping Lu

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3749

J.L.